

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,178	01/03/2001	Robert Paul Morris	P211/1975P	2820
29141	7590 05/13/2004	·	EXAMINER	
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP			VU, VIET DUY	
P O BOX 51418 PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2154	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004	ر

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/754,178	MORRIS, ROBERT PAUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of the	Viet Vu	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	ne 2002.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/754,178

Art Unit: 2154

1. The current title is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Rejections:

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Sheridan</u>, U.S. pat. No. 5,760,917 and further in view of Haverstock et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,434,607.

Per claims 1-2, <u>Sheridan</u> discloses a system and method for providing controlled access to digital images comprising:

- a) defining metadata elements for an image, e.g., IDs, formats and/or other related descriptive information (see col 7, lines 1-18 and col 9, lines 48-66),
- **b)** associating users who will access the images with access rights and associating access rights to individual image and its metadata elements (col 4, line 48 col 5, line 18),

Application/Control Number: 09/754,178

Art Unit: 2154

c) receiving a request for access to the images and the metadata elements by a user,

d) determining user's access right from the request to determine which metadata to make available to the user (see col 5, lines 46-64).

Sheridan does not teach using roles to manage access rights to the images. The use of roles-based system to control access to documents and/or each individual field within a document is well known in the art as disclosed by <u>Haverstock</u> (see Haverstock's col 5, line 56 - col 6, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify <u>Sheridan</u> with such role-based controlled access system because it would have provided better control of access to the secured data files in the network (see Haverstock's col 3, lines 1-17).

Per claims 3-8, <u>Sheridan</u> also teaches allowing the user to specify allowable actions for each image and/or set of images and/or its metadata elements (<u>see Sheridan's col 4</u>, <u>line 65</u> - col 5, <u>line 6</u>).

Claims 9-33 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-8 and hence are rejected for the same rationale set forth above for claims 1-8.

Art Unit: 2154

-- Conclusion:

- 4. The references cited by the examiner on PTO-892 but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 703-305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from $8:00\,\mathrm{am}$ to $4:00\,\mathrm{pm}$.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on (703) 305-8498.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600. The Group fax number is 703-872-9306.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ta Dan

Art Unit 2154 5/12/04